<u>A08</u>



Web content

In memory of my father Franco Jossa



Aracne editrice

www.aracneeditrice.it info@aracneeditrice.it

Copyright © MMXVIII Gioacchino Onorati editore S.r.l. — unipersonale

> www.gioacchinoonoratieditore.it info@gioacchinoonoratieditore.it

> > via Vittorio Veneto, 20 00020 Canterano (RM) (06) 45551463

ISBN 978 - 88 - 255 - 1540 - 4

No part of this book may be reproduced by print, photoprint, microfilm, microfiche, or any other means, without publisher's authorization.

Ist edition: May 2018

Paolo Jossa

Prediction Techniques in Classical Physics

The role of dimensional analysis



Contents

Introdu	ction	11
Chapte	r I	
Predict	ions and developments in support of definitions and the	corems
of scald	ar and vector fields theory	33
1.1.	Definitions	33
1.2.	The gradient	33
1.3.	The flux of a vector field, the line integral and the circu	lation
of a	vector	36
1.4.	The description of a vector field. Divergence and curl	38
1.5.	The gradient theorem	44
1.6.	Green's theorem in the plane	45
1.7.	Stokes' theorem	46
1.8.	The divergence theorem	47
1.9.	Conclusion	47

Chapter II

2.1 The indicial notation	10
2.1 The indicial notation	ч <i>у</i>
	.49
2.2. Geometrical optics. Reflection and refraction	.50
2.3. Elastic impact between two masses	.57
2.4. Heat flow by conduction	.65
2.5. Equations of motion of a perfect incompressible fluid	
subject to its own weight	.74
2.6. Kinetic theory of ideal gases	.86
2.7. The second law of thermodynamics: heat engines and	
refrigeration machines1	02
2.8. Air equilibrium1	20

2.9.	The sound in the air and the shock waves. Qualitative	
	aspects	131
2.10.	First elements of Electrostatics. Electric field and	
	gravitational field	143
2.11.	Magnetostatics and current density	155
2.12.	Maxwell's equations	162
2.13.	Some aspects of Brownian motion	169
2.14.	Newton's law of gravitation	177
2.15.	Some reflections on the theory of special relativity	178

Chapter III

Logic a and in t	nd simplifications in the definition of certain entities he proof of some theorems of Structural Mechanics	185
3.1.	Some aspects of the analysis of deformation	185
3.2.	The stress tensor	194
3.3.	Existence of principal stresses	195
3.4.	Elementary work of stresses	196
3.5.	The virtual work principle. Necessary condition	197
3.6.	The cinematic theorem of limit analysis	198

3.7. The elastic oscillator. Natural and seismic forced motion . 199

Chapter IV Predictions and inferences in the treatment of	
classical problems of Elasticity Theory	211
4.1. Elastic circular fat plate with distributed forces on the boundary	211
4.2. Elastic circular flat plate with a small circular hole in the center.	212
4.3. Elastic indefinite half-plane with a concentrated force on t boundary	he 213
4.4. Elastic indefinite half-space with a concentrated force on boundary	the 216

Chapter V
Predictions and developments, with the aid of
dimensional analysis, of equations, and laws of Classical Physics219

5.1.	Introduction	219
5.2.	Plane curves	223
5.3.	Circular motion	225
5.4.	Simple bending of a straight cantilever with constant and	
	symmetric cross-section	228
5.5.	Newton's second law and the inertia principle	233
5.6.	Euler equation of dynamic equilibrium of perfect	
	incompressible fluids	235
5.7.	Rotation of a rigid body around an axis	236
5.8.	Static analysis of plane inextensible cables	239
5.9.	Vibrations	244
5.10.	Elastic buckling of a bar with and without defects	251
5.11.	Thin tubes in pressure of infinite length	257
5.12.	Spherical membrane under internal pressure and membran	ne
	with double curvature	259
5.13.	The pulse of the elementary oscillator	263
5.14.	Tension due to impact of a stream of water on an indefinit	e
	wall	264
5.15.	Circular ring subjected to uniformly distributed torque	266
5.16.	Limit speed in the free fall of a body in the air	267
5.17.	Uniform motion of water in a channel	271
5.18.	Stationary flow of filtration. Laplace's equation	278

Chapter VI Correlations 1

Correlat	tions between stationary and remarkable	
or singu	lar values	
6.1.	Introduction	
6.2.	Minima and maxima of distances	
6.3.	Other correlations	
6.4.	Inequalities	
6.5.	Singularities in problems of Physics	
6.6.	Ramblings around two stationarity principles .	

Chapter VII

Simple of order	reasoning and common sense in the determination rs of magnitude in impact problems	335
7.1.	Impact of a rigid mass against a half-space of loose sand	335
7.2.	Impact of a rigid mass against a half-space of steel	336
7.3	Impact of a rigid mass in the middle of a steel	
	beam with built-in ends	338
<i>and the</i> 8.1.	Displacement at midspan of a simply supported beam wi	339 th
	distributed loads	339
8.2.	Beam of infinite length on elastic foundation under the	
	action of a concentrated force	341
8.3.	The pendulum	343

Chapter IX

From co	ommon sense and from the first experiences	
to the s	olution of problems. Conclusions	345
0.1	Common come and comprise to the lists of size	245
9.1.	Common sense and experience. Immediate choices	
9.2.	Refined common sense, innate logic and intuition. Se	olution
	of simple problems	
9.3.	Scale effect and common sense. Rules of similitude .	
9.4.	Reasoning and previous knowledge in research	
9.5.	Conclusions	
		• • •
Append	ix	349
Bibliog	raphy	36059

Introduction

The reasons of this book

This book was inspired by some thoughts that I developed in a previous work¹. These thoughts, however, have been evolved over time, leading to a goal quite different from the one of the above-mentioned book, which has required a much more broad and differentiated effort.

Here I do not look only at the occasions to give space, in the specificity of a discipline, to the simplifying role of ordinary language. Instead, I am interested to identify a whole series of *simplification techniques for the prediction of scientific results*, as I will tell you soon. And, really, these techniques have become the main reason of this book.

Regarding ordinary language, I now mainly use it with the precise function of guide for prediction, as more specifically you will see in the second chapter. Finally, I extend here the role of dimensional analysis, emphasizing its correlation with the above-mentioned techniques and with ordinary language.

After this, I must be sure that the reference to ordinary language does not cause misunderstandings. This reference, in fact, seems to imply certain themes already studied in-depth, on which I do not want to return. Therefore, I have to defend myself against this risk, and I will do this by starting to state the issues that *not* will be object of my reflection.

I do not want to discuss whether it is possible, or not, the translation of the formalized language of Physics in ordinary language. It would be a return to an all-too-debated issue. Just remember, with G. Battimelli², as a famous figure in the history of Physics, *Michael Far*-

^{1.} Jossa, P. "Linguaggio ordinario e ragionamento puro nella Meccanica strutturale" (Ordinary language and pure reasoning in Structural Mechanics), Aracne Publishing, June 2011.

^{2.} Battimelli, G. "Pillole pedagogiche ovvero I tormenti dell'insegnante di Fisica", (pedagogical pills or the torments of the teacher of Physics), www.mercati esplosivi.com 2013.

aday, wrote, already in 1857, to an equally distinguished colleague, his compatriot *James Clerk Maxwell*: "There is one thing that I would like to ask you. When a mathematician is committed to investigate actions and physical results and comes to his own conclusions, it is not possible to formulate these conclusions in common language, with the same thoroughness, clarity and definiteness that in mathematical formulas? ... ". Besides, I am not interested to investigate, at a general level, on the existing relation between ordinary and mathematical language. This is another widely discussed issue. Moreover, it seems to me that there is now widespread agreement in judging that a complementary relation between these two languages exists, with strengths and weaknesses, as appropriate, for each of them.

I prefer, vice versa, to support my reflection on a motto that has guided, for many years, my teaching of Structural Engineering in the Faculties of Architecture of Naples and Reggio Calabria. The motto was: "What can be done should not be taught." You should read in it the intention of teaching a specialized discipline maximizing the students' activity, so obeying to a constructivist line, conglomerate of different positions in the students training.

This choice I practiced in classroom, accompanying it with pauses for reflection. With it I wanted to solicit the initiative, encouraging students to use the means at their disposal. However, that choice was not only the *qualitative reasoning* about the use of acquired knowledge, but it was also solicitation to perform autonomous constructions. A goal maybe difficult, but certainly not impossible to achieve, especially if we are able to produce *self-confidence*, with recognition of the naturalness and the simplicity of many issues.

The reader will notice that the last sentence broadens the discourse, because the words "natural" and "simplicity" connote the mode of action of *common sense*.

However, here, again, I have to say that I am not interested in returning to the question, also this discussed at length, of the potentiality of common sense. I merely point out that common sense is the dowry that more explicit the initiative, because of its natural tendency to look in all directions. In this regard, let me add, and I will reiterate it later, that I refer here to the common sense of a person with a certain level not only of culture, but also of specialist knowledge.

The last clarification comes from the fact that what I will say has a character in some way preliminary and complementary to a systemat-

ic study of some aspects of Classical Physics, i.e., to a study that normally obeys to the forms proposed by manuals. It is then obvious that I can hardly arouse the interest of a reader away from the culture of science, although, as they say, hope is the last to die.

So far, I have connected the memory of students in classroom with the figure of the reader, with reference to possible opportunities of activity and initiative. I must now be more precise about what I want to do. To this end, it is perhaps appropriate to bring attention, once again, to the few words that I have remembered of the Faraday's speech.

Faraday focuses on the transmission of the conclusions of a research work, a problem that usually, it must be assumed, causes difficulties and time-consuming for the achievement of a result. He therefore waives to describe the discovery path. This is an obvious fact, since discovery is essentially individual, and follows not predictable paths that are privilege of a few. He merely refers to the question, as I said, whether it is possible the translation of the language of mathematics in the language of all, implying the ability of the reader to understand the translation.

I, vice versa, have no knowledge, as obvious, of what have been the real discovery paths. Therefore, *I prefer to place myself in some way in parallel with them.* A location that it is not ambition of reconstruction, because reconstruction is the task of historians and because the historical reconstruction, although sometimes of great interest, is too often forced to lose effectiveness, due to necessary descriptions of returns and errors. Nor yet I have ambition to comparison. It would be an underestimation of the importance and of the originality of certain results, with the advantage of working ex post. This is what, for example, I reiterate when I predict some aspects of Maxwell's equations.

What I would like to do it is to verify if it is possible to find new simple ways to conquer a result. With the belief that in such a way we exclude the risk of impoverishing the study of Science with the reduction of the discourse in a rigid conclusive frame, *mere acknowledgement of previous successes*. And here, I must say again to Battimelli³ that the boredom that some scientific textbooks convey is not always due to a certain poverty of formalized languages, but it can sometimes

^{3.} Battimelli, G. "*Linguaggi scientifici e linguaggi dei manuali:il caso della Fisica*", (*Scientific languages and languages of manuals: the case of Physics*), www.phys.uniroma1.it 2013.

depend on a too systematic organization of the various arguments, which results only in learning, with absence of any autonomous construction.

In short, I think that we must take advantage of working ex post, by preceding the systematic acquisition of knowledge by one stage of prediction: i.e. from the logical structures, sometimes hidden by developments, and from the facts of common experience that can contribute to explanation. All this, as I will say, looking continuously at possible simplified paths. A prediction which does not want to describe the mode of formation of a specific scientific result, but tries to grasp, in whole or in part, a possible path of recognition. It is clearly implied in this operation, the ambition to equip the reader so that he could grasp the conceptual simplicity and the naturalness that are usually at the base of a formulation, of a problem, of a theorem and of other aspects of Classical Physics.

E. E. Toulouse⁴, a psychologist of the Psychology Laboratory of the School of Higher Studies in Paris, recall in a book of the year 1910 entitled *Henri Poincaré*, that Poincaré did not care much about rigor, disliking logic. He judged that logic is not a way to invent, but a way for structuring ideas. Moreover, he believed that logic can limit ideas. Toulouse also reminds that the way of Poincaré to deal with a problem was to try solving it in a manner as complete as possible in his mind, and then to translate it into a well organized paper.

Now, it is obvious that we cannot take for example a genius as Poincaré. However, it is a fact that logic is not intuition and is not discovery; and that the immersion, without deviations, in mathematical developments may produce automatisms, limiting the effectiveness of constructive thought. Furthermore, it is evident that the search for unconventional approaches to problems can stimulate the mental activity. Finally, we must not forget that, if it is only highlighted the instrumental nature of a problem, then there is risk to lose the concept and the rationality supporting it.

Dewey says: "The concept has also the feature of allowing the anticipated solution of problems." ⁵ Therefore, it is also in searching the

^{4.} Toulouse, E. "Enquete medico psychologique sur la superiorité intellectuelle: Henry Poincaré", (Inquiry Medico psychologique on the intellectual superiority: Henry Poincaré), E. Flammarion, Paris, 1910.

^{5.} DEWEY, J. "Logic: the Theory of Inquiry", Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1938.